

On the dimension of spaces of Siegel cuspforms for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$

Gaëtan Chenevier

CNRS, Orsay University

November 2, 2019

Dimension formulas

Let $g \geq 1$ and set $\Gamma_g = \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Define $S_k(\Gamma_g)$ and $S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ respectively as the spaces of cuspidal Siegel modular forms for Γ_g which are either scalar-valued of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, or more generally vector-valued of weight $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_g)$ in \mathbb{Z}^g with $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_g$.

Classical problem : Determine $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ (formula ?).

Only general constraints: $S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g) = 0$ unless $\sum_i k_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ (easy) and $k_g \geq g/2$ (Freitag, Reznikoff, Weissauer).

Known results : $g = 1$ and $g = 2$

For $g = 1$, classical modular forms for $\Gamma_1 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$: well known.

Assume $g = 2$, so $k_1 + k_2$ is even and $k_2 \geq 1$.

Formula in the scalar-valued case due to Igusa (1962) and in the vector-valued case by Tsushima (1984) for $k_2 \geq 5$.

Tsushima's formula also holds for $k_2 \geq 3$, as was conjectured by Ibukiyama, and proved later by Petersen and Taïbi (2015).

Known results : $g = 2$ (continued) and $g = 3$

We have $S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_2) = 0$ for $k_2 = 1$ (Ibukiyama, Skoruppa), but $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_2)$ still unknown for $k_2 = 2$!

(Known to vanish for all $k_1 \leq 54$ by recent results of Clery, van de Geer and Ch.-Taïbi.)

Many other results known for $g \leq 2$ with higher level that I don't mention here !

For $g = 3$, formula in the scalar-valued case due to Tsuyumine (1984), only quite recently a conjectural formula proposed for $k_3 \geq 4$ by J. Bergström, C. Faber & G. van der Geer (2011).

Taïbi's thesis (2015)

Building on work of Ch.-Renard, Taïbi gives *loc. cit.* an explicit formula for $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ for g arbitrary in the case $k_g > g$.

His formula contains some unknown terms, namely certain orbital integrals at torsion elements of split classical groups over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Taïbi developed several case-by-case algorithms to compute those terms efficiently with the help of the computer. He was able to evaluate all of them for $g \leq 7$.

Conclusion: Given any $g \leq 7$ and any \underline{k} with $k_g > g$ and k_1 not too big, the computer and Taïbi's implementation returns $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ in a few seconds.

This proved BFvdG's conjecture in particular, and much more.

Goal today

Goal: Explain a variant of Taïbi's method which reproves his results in a simpler and comparatively "effortless" way : no direct orbital integrals calculation. (Joint-work with Taïbi on arXiv)

Combining both methods, get also a formula in the case $g = 8$.

<http://gaetan.chenevier.perso.math.cnrs.fr/levelone/>

→ tables for $k_1 \leq 16$ and Taïbi's sage scripts allowing computations for general \underline{k} (with $k_g > g$ and $g \leq 8$).

Remarks: (a) Other results in [Ch.-Taïbi] include a computation of $\dim S_k(\Gamma_g)$ (scalar-valued case) for all $g \geq 1$ in the case $k \leq 13$. I'll show list if time permits.

(b) We do not use any previous computation of dimension of spaces of modular forms, and in the end we seem to recover all known $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ (including works of Witt, Poor-Yuen, Nebe-Venkov, Borcherds-Freitag-Weissauer...)

The three main ingredients

1. Arthur's endoscopic classification specified to the level 1 algebraic cuspforms of all split classical groups over \mathbb{Z} , namely Sp_{2g} or split SO_n over \mathbb{Z} , which are discrete series at the Archimedean place.
2. The “ L^2 -Lefschetz” version of Arthur's trace formula.
3. Non-existence results of certain level 1 “algebraic” cuspforms on GL_n (see later).

Let me start with an instructive *baby case* where (1) plays no role.

A (too complicated) way to determine $\dim S_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$

First basic tool, a trace formula.

Trace formula with **simplest geometric side** = the one of Arthur's 1989 paper *L^2 -Lefschetz numbers of Hecke operators*.

Drawback : simplified but still complicated spectral side.

I want to describe this trace formula for any split semisimple group scheme G over \mathbb{Z} and the trivial Hecke operator (giving a “dimension”).

In this section, I take $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2 \simeq \mathrm{SO}_3$ and fix $k \geq 2$ even, but also prepare for the general case.

The test function

Let $f = \otimes'_v f_v$ be a smooth c.s. function on $G(\mathbb{A})$, and $dg = \prod_v dg_v$ a Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A})$, such that :

(a) $f_p = 1_{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ and $\text{vol}(G(\mathbb{Z}_p), dg_p) = 1$,

(b) $f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty =$ a signed *pseudocoefficient* for the discrete series representation D_k of weight k for $G(\mathbb{R}) (= \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$.

Meaning : if U is any *tempered* unitary irrep. of $G(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\text{trace}(f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty | U) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} \dim G(\mathbb{R})/K_\infty} = -1 & \text{if } U \simeq D_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pseudocoefficients of discrete series exists in general (Clozel-Delorme). Elementary for $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (Harish-Chandra, Duflo-Labesse).

An important warning

If U is a **non tempered** unitary irrep. of $G(\mathbb{R})$, we may have $\text{trace}(f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty | U) \neq 0$.

For $G = \text{PGL}_2$, only happens for $k = 2$ and $\dim U = 1$ (trivial or sign) by Bargmann's classification.

Explanation : $f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty$ has trace 0 in any full principal series, and there is a principal series of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ which is an extension of D_k by the finite dimensional rep. $V_k := \text{Sym}^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \det^{1-k/2}$, so

$$-\text{trace}(f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty | D_k) = \text{trace}(f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty | V_k) = 1.$$

Of course, V_k is unitary only for $k = 2$.

Spectral side of the trace formula

Define $\mathcal{A}^2(G)$ as the space of automorphic forms in the space $L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ (*square integrable automorphic forms*) and set:

$$T_{\text{spec}}(G; k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{trace}(f(g)dg | \mathcal{A}^2(G)).$$

Essentially by definition and the above remarks we have

$$T_{\text{spec}}(\text{PGL}_2; k) = -\dim S_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) + \delta_{k,2}$$

(sign does not globally contribute in level 1, by strong approximation and $\text{sign}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = \{\pm 1\}$).

Geometric side

Arthur's paper gives another formula for $T_{\text{spec}}(G; k)$, which also depends only on k , and is denoted $T_{\text{geom}}(G; k)$.

$$\text{(ATF)} : \quad T_{\text{spec}}(G; k) = T_{\text{geom}}(G; k).$$

- For general G , $T_{\text{geom}}(G; k)$ would be a finite sum (of sums) indexed by certain classes of Levi subgroups L of G . Most important term, associated to $L = G$ itself, is called $T_{\text{ell}}(G; k)$.
- For PGL_2 , unique other Levi is \mathbb{G}_m and we can show for all k

$$T_{\text{geom}}(\text{PGL}_2; k) = T_{\text{ell}}(\text{PGL}_2; k) + 1/2$$

It remains to explain the *elliptic term* $T_{\text{ell}}(G; k)$.

Elliptic terms

$$T_{\text{ell}}(G; k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\gamma} \text{vol}(G_{\gamma}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}), dg_{\gamma}) \cdot O_{\gamma}(1_{G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})} \frac{dg}{dg_{\gamma}}) \cdot \text{trace}(\gamma | V_k),$$

where γ runs over the $G(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugacy classes semisimple elements of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ whose $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -conjugacy class meets $G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for each prime p , and with γ_{∞} compact (or better, \mathbb{R} -elliptic).

Recall $G \simeq \text{SO}_3$: any such γ has a (degree 3) char. poly. which is a product of cyclotomic polynomials (Kronecker). In particular, **any contributing γ has finite order.**

Remark: rational ss. conjugacy classes are more complicated for classical groups over \mathbb{Q} than for GL_n : infinitely many different classes can have the same char. poly. Nevertheless, only finitely classes contribute non trivially to the sum above.

The masses of G

Each term in $T_{\text{ell}}(G; k)$ could be computed easily for $G = \text{PGL}_2$, but **painful** when G is replaced by Sp_{2g} or SO_n with high g or n : see Taïbi's thesis for algorithms and numerical applications in small rank. **We choose not to do so and simply write**

$$T_{\text{ell}}(G; k) = \sum_{c \in C(G)} m_c \text{trace}(c|V_k),$$

where $C(G)$ is the set of $G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ -conjugacy classes of finite order elements in $G(\mathbb{Q})$ (this is possible!). Equivalent to give c in $C(G)$ and its char. poly. (a product of cyclo. pol.).

Definition : Call m_c the **mass** of the element c of $C(G)$.

They are absolute constant, i.e. do not depend on k . We can show $m_c \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all c .

$C(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$

There are 5 possible char. poly.

$$\phi_1^3, \phi_1\phi_2^2, \phi_3\phi_1, \phi_4\phi_1, \phi_6\phi_1,$$

hence at most 5 classes, say c_d for $d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ with respective order d . Moreover, for $d > 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{trace}(c_d | V_k) = \sin(k\pi/d)/\sin(\pi/d)$$

(must be in \mathbb{Z} for the d above.)

Last key ingredient

Fact: We have $\dim S_k(\Gamma_1) = 0$ for $k = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$.

Assume this fact for the moment. The trace formula for those k leads to the linear system :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 7 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 9 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m_{c_1} \\ m_{c_2} \\ m_{c_3} \\ m_{c_4} \\ m_{c_6} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Luckily, the matrix on the left-hand side is invertible: we find $m_{c_1} = -\frac{1}{12}$, $m_{c_2} = \frac{1}{4}$, $m_{c_3} = \frac{1}{3}$ and $m_{c_4} = m_{c_6} = 0$.

Consequence: Recover the classical formula for $\dim S_k(\Gamma_1)$ (for all k), just by proving a few modular forms do not exist.

Remark: Simple explanation for $m_{c_4} = m_{c_6} = 0$ (exercise!).

Proof of the fact, following Mestre

Use an L -function argument first observed by J. F. Mestre in 1986, in the lead of works of Stark, Odlyzko and Serre on discriminant lower bounds for number fields.

Assume $S_k(\Gamma_1)$ is nonzero : it contains a nonzero Hecke eigenform $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$. Let

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + (k - 1)/2)L(s + (k - 1)/2, f)$$

be its “completed” Hecke L -function. This is an entire function, BVS, with an Euler product and $\Lambda(s, f) = i^k \Lambda(1 - s, f)$.

Main idea : show that there is no such function for $k < 12$ by applying the so-called *explicit formula* to $\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}$.

The “explicit formula” following Weil, Poitou and Mestre

Result of a (limit of) contour integration $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(s) \Phi_F(s) ds$ for a suitable *test function* F . (Draw \mathcal{C}).

For us, $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any even, compactly supported, function of class \mathcal{C}^2 , and define Φ_F (an entire complex function) by

$$\Phi_F(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) e^{(s-1/2)t} dt = \widehat{F}\left(\frac{1/2 - s}{2i\pi}\right).$$

Set $L'/L(s) = \sum_{p^k} b_{p^k} p^{-ks}$. Using Cauchy's residue theorem + functional equation + Euler product for $\text{Res} > 1$ + some horizontal estimates, get for each test function F :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_{\mathbb{C}}}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}}(k/2 + 2i\pi t) \widehat{F}(t) dt + \sum_{p^k} b_{p^k} \frac{\log p}{p^{k/2}} F(\log p^k) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 \leq \text{Re } \rho \leq 1} \text{Re } \Phi_F(\rho) \text{ ord}_{s=\rho} \Lambda(s) \end{aligned}$$

Basic inequality

Assume $F \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \Phi_F(s) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1$, and F vanishes outside $[-\log 2, \log 2]$. For each such F we get the (surprisingly sharp in practice) *basic inequality*:

$$(BI) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma'_{\mathbb{C}}}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}}(k/2 + 2i\pi t) \widehat{F}(t) dt \geq 0.$$

Functions used in practice : recall Odlyzko's function $u(x) =$ twice square convolution of $\cos(\pi x) \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq 1/2}$. Then

$$F_{\lambda}(x) = u(x/\lambda) / \cosh(x/2)$$

satisfies the 2 positivity assumptions, with support in $[-\lambda, \lambda]$.

Numerical application : for $F = F_{\log 2}$, LHS of (BI) is increasing when k grows : it is $\simeq -0.07$ for $k = 10$ and $\simeq 0.06$ for $k = 12$. \square

Higher dimensional variants

Very general method : applies to arbitrary L-functions satisfying suitable analytic properties such as the standard L-functions of cuspidal automorphic representations of GL_m .

As observed by Serre and Miller in the past, even more powerful when applied to the Rankin-Selberg L-function : as the b_{p^k} are ≤ 0 , we may use F_λ with arbitrary λ .

Experience shows that trivial looking inequalities such as (BI) are miraculously accurate in small weights and conductor.

Industrial applications: With Lannes and Taïbi, we have used this method (with important improvements that I will ignore here) to prove *the inexistence of several thousands of automorphic eigenforms for $GL_m(\mathbb{Z})$ with say $m \leq 17$ and specific Archimedean components (or Γ -factors).*

Selfdual level 1 algebraic cusp forms on GL_m

Consider cuspidal automorphic rep's. π of GL_m over \mathbb{Q} such that :

- (i) (selfdual) $\pi^\vee \simeq \pi$,
- (ii) (level 1) π_p is unramified for each prime p ,
- (iii) (algebraic) the infinitesimal character $\text{inf } \pi_\infty \subset M_m(\mathbb{C})$ has eigenvalues $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_m$ with $w_i - w_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w_i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ (called the **weights** of π).

Counting problem : Determine the number $N_m(w_1, \dots, w_m)$ of π of weights w_1, \dots, w_m (finite by Harish-Chandra)

Under (iii) we expect (and actually know) the existence of associated m -dimensional ℓ -adic Galois representations to $\pi|_{\cdot}|\cdot|^{w_1/2}$.

A few simple properties

1. π has trivial central character (and $\pi = 1$ for $m = 1$).
2. As $\pi_\infty \simeq \pi_\infty^\vee$ we have $w_{m+1-i} = -w_i$ for each i .
3. Archimedean Jacquet-Shalika estimates imply temperedness $L(\pi_\infty)|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (z/\bar{z})^{w_i}$ (Clozel's purity lemma). So we essentially know $L(\pi_\infty)$ from knowledge of the w_i .
4. For $k > 0$ even we have $N_2(\frac{k-1}{2}, -\frac{k-1}{2}) = \dim S_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$.

Definition: Say π is **regular** if $L(\pi_\infty)$ is multiplicity free. (\Leftrightarrow the w_i are distinct, except possibly two weights 0 for $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.)

Fact: A regular π is orthogonal iff its weights are in \mathbb{Z} .

Back to the explicit formula methods

Using the explicit formula method, we prove the following key:

Proposition : (Ch.-Taïbi) For several thousands of explicit regular $w = (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ and $m \leq 17$ we have $N_m(w) = 0$.

Remark: The explicit formula method gives at best concrete upper bounds on $N_m(w)$, but never allows to prove lower bounds.

Review of Arthur's theory for Siegel modular forms I

Assume $F \in S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ is a cuspidal Hecke eigenform.

Let π be the cusp. aut. representation of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{A})$ generated by F .

– $\pi_p^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \neq 0$ for each prime p .

– $\pi_\infty \simeq D_{\underline{k}}$ (lowest/highest weight module).

Simple but important fact: the $2g + 1$ eigenvalues of the infinitesimal character of $D_{\underline{k}}$ are 0 and the $\pm(k_i - i)$, $i = 1, \dots, g$.

They are distinct for $k_g > g$, i.e. when $D_{\underline{k}}$ is (hol.) discrete series.

Review of Arthur's theory for Siegel modular forms II

Let $\psi = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s \pi_j[d_j]$ the global Arthur parameter of π . Then:

- (a) ψ_p is unramified for each prime p (i.e. each π_j has level 1).
- (b) ψ_∞ has the same inf. character as π_∞ .

Definitely assume $k_g > g$. Assertion (b) has two consequences :

– (**weights condition**) π_j is algebraic regular for each j and

$$\{0, \pm(k_i - i) \mid i = 1, \dots, g\} = \{w + a\}$$

with $w \in \text{Weights}(\pi_j)$ and $a \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $|a| \leq d_j$ and $a \equiv d_j \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.
– ψ_∞ is an Adams-Johnson parameter, i.e. $\Pi(\psi_\infty)$ is an Adams-Johnson packet (AMR).

Review of Arthur's theory for Siegel modular forms III

Most important case: $s = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$, i.e. $\psi = \varpi$ with ϖ a level 1, orthogonal, cusp. aut. rep. of GL_{2g+1} with reg. weights

$$w_{\underline{k}} = (k_1 - 1, k_2 - 2, \dots, k_g - g, 0, \dots)$$

(Trivial) special case of (AMF) : Conversely, any level 1, selfdual orthogonal, algebraic regular ϖ appears this way, for a unique F up to scalars.

If $s > 1$ or $d_1 > 1$, the form F is usually called *endoscopic*.

Review of Arthur's theory for Siegel modular forms IV

In general, there is a unique $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that π_{j_0} has odd dimension (i.e the weight 0).

Further observations (Ch.-Renard, AMR):

1. We have $d_{j_0} = 1$, otherwise $\Pi(\psi_\infty)$ does not contain π_∞ .

2. $\langle -, D_{\underline{k}} \rangle$ is **always** $\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_6 + \dots + \epsilon_{2[g/2]}$.

→ Allows to find all further restrictions on the weights of the π_j by applying (AMF) (parity, relative ordering).

No other constraints : conversely, using (AMF) we are thus able to determine all possible endoscopic contributions ("lifts"). See Ch.-Lannes for list of concrete formulas.

Conclusion : (Key Fact A) In order to determine $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$, enough to know $N_m(w)$ for all $m \leq 2g + 1$ and $w_1 \leq k_1 - 1$.

Statement of main theorems

Main Theorem with Taïbi: (i) Computation of all masses for Sp_{2g} with $g \leq 8$ and for split SO_n with $n \leq 17$.

(ii) “Concrete” and implemented formulas for $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ for $g \leq 8$ and $k_g > g$, including contributions of all possible endoscopic lifts.

(iii) “Concrete” and implemented formulas for $N_m(w)$ for any $m \leq 16$ and regular w .

See webpage for many table.

Inductive proof : even if we are interested only in Sp_{2g} , we are forced to consider as well all $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g'}$ with $g' < g$ and all split $\mathrm{SO}_{n'}$ with $n' < 2g + 1$.

Back to trace formula for Sp_{2g}

Fix $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_g) \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ with $k_g > g$.

Let $\Pi_{\underline{k}}$ be the set of 2^g discrete series of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ with same inf. character as $D_{\underline{k}}$ (discrete series L-packet).

We apply Arthur's formula to $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}$ and test function $f(g)dg$:

- same $f_p(g_p)dg_p$ as before,
- to get a formula with a nice geom. side Arthur is forced to choose for $f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty$ the sum of “the” pseudocoefficients of all the elements of $\Pi_{\underline{k}}$ (with signs $(-1)^{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}$).

$f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty$ is an Euler-Poincaré function

Set $V_{\underline{k}}$ = finite dim. irrep. of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$ with same inf. char. as $D_{\underline{k}}$.

Clozel-Delorme: For any irr. unitary rep. of $G(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\mathrm{trace}(f_\infty(g_\infty)dg_\infty|U) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim H^i(\mathfrak{g}, K; U \otimes V_{\underline{k}}^\vee) =: \mathrm{EP}(U, \underline{k}).$$

- Only depends on \underline{k} .
- Only regular cohomological representations with same inf. char. as $D_{\underline{k}}$ contribute (discrete series & many nontempered in gen.).

Spectral side

Still $T_{\text{spec}}(G; \underline{k}) = \text{trace}(f(g)dg \mid \mathcal{A}^2(G))$. We have thus

$$T_{\text{spec}}(G; \underline{k}) = \text{EP}(\mathcal{A}^2(G), \underline{k}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Fairly complicated alternating sum and much work needed to understand it. In much the same way I explained $S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ may be reconstructed from selfdual alg. regular level 1 algebraic π 's, Arthur's endoscopic classification (using (AMF) and AMR) imply:

Key fact B: $T_{\text{spec}}(G; \underline{k}) = 2^g (-1)^{g(g+1)/2} N_{2g+1}(w_{\underline{k}}) + \text{an explicit function of the } N_m(w) \text{ for } w_1 \leq k_1 - 1 \text{ and } m < 2g + 1$.

See Taïbi's AENS paper for the precise recipe.

Geometric side

Arthur's trace formula still takes the form:

$$T_{\text{spec}}(G; \underline{k}) = T_{\text{geom}}(G; \underline{k}) = T_{\text{ell}}(G; \underline{k}) + T_{\text{nonell}}(G; \underline{k})$$

where:

- $T_{\text{ell}}(G, \underline{k})$ is defined exactly as before : just replace k by \underline{k} .
- $T_{\text{nonell}}(G; \underline{k})$ may be explicitly deduced from the $T_{\text{ell}}(L; \underline{k}')$ for the so-called cuspidal Levi subgroups L of G (there are products of GL_1 , GL_2 (close to PGL_2), and of $Sp_{2g'}$ with $g' < g$). Very concrete general formulas for these non elliptic terms are given by Taïbi.

The masses

Still as before we write :

$$T_{\text{ell}}(G; k) = \sum_{c \in C(G)} m_c \text{trace}(c|V_k),$$

with the unknown masses $m_c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Rather easy to determine $C(G)$, say mod $x \mapsto -x$ (degree $2g$ products of cycl. pol.).

G	Sp_2	Sp_4	Sp_6	Sp_8	Sp_{10}	Sp_{12}	Sp_{14}	Sp_{16}
$ C(G)/\sim $	3	12	32	92	219	530	1157	2521

Last argument

Assume we know the masses of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g'}$ for $g' < g$, and of $\mathrm{SO}_{n'}$ for $n' < 2g + 1$.

Induction: We know the $T_{\mathrm{geom}}(G', \underline{k}')$ for those G' , hence the $T_{\mathrm{spec}}(G', \underline{k}')$ as well by trace formula. By Key Fact B we have a formula for $N_m(w)$ for all $m \leq 2g$ and regular w .

Assume we also know $N_{2g+1}(w_0) = 0$ for some regular w_0 , e.g. using explicit formula. Write $w_0 = w_{\underline{k}}$. We deduce $T_{\mathrm{spec}}(G; \underline{k})$ hence **get a linear relation among the masses** m_c .

Miracle: We proved enough vanishing results to get enough relations this way up to $g = 7$ to invert the linear system !

This being done, we get all masses m_c , hence $N_{2g+1}(w)$ for all w (by Key Fact B), hence formulas for $\dim S_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_g)$ (by Key fact A) and all endoscopic contributions (by AMF).

For $g = 8$, not enough relations, but compute enough “easy m_c ” by Taïbi’s method. \square